# School Accountability Report Card School Year 2001-2002 

| School Information | District Information |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School Name | Mt. Pleasant High | District Name | E ast Side Union High |
| Principal | Art Darin | Superintendent | J oe Coto |
| Street | 1750 S. White Road | Street | 830 N. Capitol Ave. |
| City, State, <br> Zip | San J ose, CA $95127-4760$ | City, State, Zip | San J ose, CA 95133-1316 |
| Phone <br> Number | 408 937-2815 | Phone Number | $408347-5000$ |
| FAX Number | 408 937-2815 | FAX Number | 408 347-5045 |
| Web Site | mpnet.esuhsd.org | Web Site | www.esuhsd.org |
| Email <br> Address | darina@ esuhsd.org | Email Address | guerinl@ esuhsd.org |
| CDS Code | $43-69427-4334900$ | SARC Contact | Lorraine Guerin |

## School Description and Mission Statement

[^0]students will be analyzing the system for improvements next year. The number of students involved in activities such as sports and clubs increased to over 1200 students. The average GPA of these students was 2.9.

Mt. Pleasant has constructed new science facilities and a Technology Center. All classrooms will have computers and Internet access. All teachers use technology to take attendance and have an e-mail address for communication. A new phone system with voice mail was added. The Library has a 30 station computer lab with the ability to do multimedia presentations. A CD Tower networked to these computers allows students to access large volumes of information at their fingertips. Video, Laserdisc, DVD, and Digital cameras are available for student and staff use.

Mission
The mission of Mt. Pleasant High School is to prepare each student for a productive life in a safe, disciplined, and positive educational environment

Expected Schoolwide Learning Results

- Academic Excellence
- Building Community
- Critical Thinking


## Opportunities for Parental Involvement

| Contact Person <br> Name | Debbie Ramirez | Contact Person Phone <br> Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mt. Pleasant promotes parent involvement. They encourage parents to sign up to the on-line parent <br> connect service to monitor student attendance and grades on a regular basis. They schedule parents <br> sessions at the school and in the community. Parents participate on School Site council and the Athletic <br> Boosters Club is always recruiting for new parents. |  |  |

## I. Demographic Information

## Student Enrollment, by Grade Level

| Grade Level | Enrollment |
| :--- | :---: |
| Grade 9 | 642 |
| Grade 10 | 600 |
| Grade 11 | 550 |
| Grade 12 | 471 |
| Ungraded Secondary | 15 |
| Total | 2278 |

## Student Enrollment, by Ethnic Group

The percentage of students is the number of students in a racial/ethnic category divided by the school's most recent California Basic Educational Data System (CBEDS) total enrollment.

| Racial/Ethnic Category | Number <br> of <br> Students | Percentage <br> of <br> Students | Racial/Ethnic <br> Category | Number <br> of <br> Student <br> s | Percentage <br> of <br> Students |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| African-American | 111 | 4.9 | Hispanic or Latino | 986 | 43.3 |
| American Indian or Alaska <br> Native | 10 | 0.4 | Pacific Islander | 19 | 0.8 |
| Asian-American | 237 | 23.1 | White (Not Hispanic) | 296 | 13.0 |
| Filipino-American | 329 | 14.4 | Other | 0 | 0.0 |

## II. School Safety and Climate for Learning

## School Safety Plan

| Date of Last <br> Review/Update | April 9, 2002 | Date Last Discussed with <br> Staff | Opening meeting <br> in September 2002 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

A safe campus continues to be an ongoing goal of staff, students and parents. The Mt. Pleasant High School Safety Committee continues its efforts to implement the School S afety Plan. This plan addresses all aspects of safety from violence prevention to earthquake preparedness. It has helped set direction for the school. Mt. Pleasant High School provides an environment in which the students can learn to the best of their abilities. Those students exhibiting unacceptable behavior are dealt with through parental conferences, detention, suspension and possible expulsion. The school continues to make every effort to provide a safe and enriching environment in which all students and staff will feel comfortable and secure so productive learning may occur. The school's Safety Plan is available on the school web site www.mpnet.esuhsd.org.

## School Programs and Practices that Promote a Positive Learning Environment

Mt. P leasant High School's discipline policies are in concert with the E ast S ide Union High School District's policies pertaining to student behavior, a copy of which is mailed to every home in the Mt. Pleasant High School attendance area at the beginning of each school year. These policies are regularly reviewed and amended. Students are oriented to the policies at the beginning of each school year. We encourage parent and student conferences in an attempt to correct student misbehavior and employ before school and after school detention, in-school suspension and out of school suspension as deterrents to continued misbehavior. In addition, Mt. Pleasant has reinstated a 'No Fight Rule' which represents a zero tolerance for fighting by our students. Discipline charts were created and posted in every classroom to ensure that all students have the same information. A dress code was also developed with input from staff, students and parents.

## Suspensions and Expulsions

The number of suspensions and expulsions is the total number of incidents that result in a suspension or expulsion. The rate of suspensions and expulsions is the total number of incidents divided by the school's California Basic Educational Data System (CBEDS) total enrollment for the given year. In unified school districts, a comparison between a particular type of school (elementary, middle, high) and the district average may be misleading. Schools have the option of comparing their data with the district-wide average for the same type of school.

|  | School |  |  | District |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suspensions (number) | 176 | 141 | 258 | 2549 | 2101 | 2109 |
| Suspensions (rate) | $8 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Expulsions (number) | 0 | 2 | 2 | 74 | 31 | 31 |
| Expulsions (rate) | $0 \%$ | $.1 \%$ | $.1 \%$ | $.3 \%$ | $.1 \%$ | $.1 \%$ |

## School Facilities

Mt. Pleasant High School opened 36 years ago. Since our opening in 1965, the necessity to add classrooms, offices and special facilities to accommodate curricular changes and innovations and house special programs has placed tremendous pressure upon the available space. Twenty-three portable classrooms have been opened adjacent to Martin Avenue with an additional eight coming in the spring of 2000. Given the fact that the school is over 36 years old, our custodial and gardening staff along with the district maintenance have done an outstanding job of keeping our campus and classrooms clean, neat and attractive.

## III. Academic Data

## Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR)

Through the California Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program, students in grades 2-11 are tested annually in various subject areas. Currently, the STAR program includes California Standards Tests (CST) in English Language Arts and Mathematics in grades 2-11, and Science and History-Social Science in grades 9-11; and the Stanford Achievement Test, Ninth Edition (Stanford 9), which tests Reading, Language, Mathematics (grades 2-11), Spelling (grades 2-8), and S cience and History-S ocial Science (grades 9-11 only). Note: To protect student privacy, scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less.

## California Standards Tests (CST)

The California Standards Tests show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. Student scores are reported as performance levels. The five performance levels are Advanced (exceeds state standards), P roficient (meets standards), Basic (approaching standards), B elow Basic (below standards), and Far Below Basic (well below standards). Students scoring at the Proficient or Advanced level have met state standards in that content area. Note: To protect student privacy, scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less.

## CST - English Language Arts

Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grade <br> Level | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 2001 | 2002 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 2001 | 2002 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 2001 | 2002 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | --- | 27 | 28 | -- | 27 | 32 | -- | 28 | 33 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | --- | 25 | 32 | --- | 27 | 30 | -- | 31 | 33 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | --- | 30 | 26 | --- | 24 | 28 | -- | 29 | 31 |

CST - Mathematics
Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grade <br> Level | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| 9 | --- | --- | 10 | --- | --- | 17 | --- | --- | 21 |
| 10 | --- | --- | 7 | --- | --- | 15 | --- | --- | 21 |
| 11 | --- | --- | 7 | --- | --- | 13 | --- | --- | 18 |

## CST - Science

Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grade <br> Level | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| 9 | --- | --- | 9 | --- | --- | 7 | --- | --- | 22 |
| 10 | --- | --- | 31 | --- | --- | 22 | --- | --- | 26 |
| 11 | --- | --- | 11 | --- | --- | 18 | --- | --- | 25 |

## CST - History/Social Science

Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grade <br> Level | School | District | State |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | --- | -- | 24 | --- | --- | 22 | --- | --- | 24 |
| 10 | --- | -- | 16 | --- | --- | 19 | --- | --- | 24 |
| 11 | --- | --- | 20 | --- | --- | 23 | --- | --- | 31 |

CST - Subgroups - English Language Arts
Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grade <br> Level | Male | Female | English <br> Learners | Not-English <br> Learners | Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | Not <br> Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | Migrant <br> Education <br> Services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 28 | 30 | 11 | 33 |  | 30 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 27 | 37 |  |  | 27 | 33 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 22 | 31 | 2 |  | 19 | 28 |  |

CST - Subgroups - Mathematics
Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grade <br> Level | Male | Female | English <br> Learners | Not-English <br> Learners | Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | Not <br> Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | Migrant <br> Education <br> Services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 12 | 10 | 6 | 12 |  | 10 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 8 | 7 | 2 |  | 7 | 7 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 8 | 5 |  |  | 10 | 6 |  |

## CST - Subgroups - Science

Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grade <br> Level | Male | Female | English <br> Learners | Not- <br> English <br> Learners | Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | Not <br> Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | Migrant <br> Education <br> Services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 24 | 23 | 10 | 27 |  | 24 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 16 | 15 | 2 |  | 18 | 16 |  |


| 11 | 22 | 18 |  |  | 18 | 21 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CST - Subgroups - History/Social Science
Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grade <br> Level | Male | Female | English <br> Learners | Not- <br> English <br> Learners | Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | Not <br> Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | Migrant <br> Education <br> Services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 24 | 23 | 10 | 27 |  | 24 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 16 | 15 | 2 |  | 18 | 16 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 22 | 18 |  |  | 18 | 21 |  |

## CST - Racial/Ethnic Groups - English Language Arts

Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grade <br> Level | African- <br> American | American <br> Indian or <br> Alaska <br> Native | Asian- <br> American | Filipino- <br> American | Hispanic <br> or Latino | Pacific <br> Islander | White <br> (not <br> Hispanic) | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 13 |  | 36 | 47 | 17 |  | 43 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 19 |  | 51 | 42 | 18 |  | 40 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |  | 38 | 29 | 17 |  | 31 |  |

## CST - Racial/Ethnic Groups - Mathematics

Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level | African- <br> American | American <br> Indian or <br> Alaska <br> Native | Asian- <br> American | Filipino- <br> American | Hispanic <br> or Latino | Pacific <br> Islander | White <br> (not <br> Hispanic) | Other |
| $\mathbf{9}$ |  |  | 21 | 18 | 4 |  | 6 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  | 16 | 8 | 3 |  | 6 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ |  |  | 16 | 3 | 2 |  | 8 |  |

CST - Racial/Ethnic Groups - Science

Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level | African- <br> American <br> American <br> Alaska or <br> Native <br> American | Asian- <br> American <br> Amilinino- | Hispanic <br> or Latino | Pacific <br> Islander | White <br> (not <br> Hispanic) | Other |  |  |
| $\mathbf{9}$ |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 7 |  | 53 | 56 | 15 |  | 50 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ |  |  | 18 | 8 | 9 |  | 7 |  |

## CST - Racial/Ethnic Groups - History/Social Science

Percentage of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standard)

| Grade <br> Level | African- <br> AmericanAmerican <br> Indian or <br> Alaska <br> Native | Asian- <br> American | Filipino- <br> American | Hispanic <br> or Latino | Pacific <br> Islander | White <br> (not <br> Hispanic) | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 19 |  | 31 | 41 | 12 |  | 35 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 3 |  | 31 | 18 | 9 |  | 20 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 5 |  | 29 | 24 | 13 |  | 22 |  |

## Stanford 9 (SAT 9)

Reading and mathematics results from the Stanford 9 test are reported for each grade level as the percentage of tested students scoring at or above the 50th percentile (the national average). School results are compared to results at the district and state levels. Note: To protect student privacy, scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less.

## SAT 9 - Reading

Percentage of students scoring at or above the 50th percentile

| Grade <br> Level | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | 36 | 35 | 30 | 32 | 33 | 35 | 35 | 34 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 31 | 30 | 34 | 26 | 28 | 31 | 34 | 34 | 34 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 31 | 38 | 31 | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | 29 | 30 | 36 | 37 | 37 |

SAT 9 - Mathematics

Percentage of students scoring at or above the 50th percentile

| Grade <br> Level | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 56 | 58 | 58 | 57 | 56 | 60 | 51 | 51 | 52 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 51 | 48 | 49 | 46 | 47 | 50 | 46 | 45 | 46 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 45 | 56 | 48 | 48 | 47 | 48 | 47 | 46 | 47 |

SAT 9 - Subgroups - Reading
Percentage of students scoring at or above the 50th percentile

| Grad <br> $\mathbf{e}$ <br> Level | Male | Femal <br> $\mathbf{e}$ | English <br> Learner <br> $\mathbf{s}$ | Not-English <br> Learners | Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | Not <br> Socioeconomicall <br> $\mathbf{y}$ <br> Disadvantaged | Migrant <br> Educatio <br> n <br> Services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 35 | 36 | 13 | 40 |  | 36 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 33 | 34 | 6 | 39 | 27 | 35 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 28 | 35 | 2 | 35 | 28 | 32 |  |

SAT 9 - Subgroups - Mathematics
Percentage of students scoring at or above the 50th percentile

| Grad <br> $\mathbf{e}$ <br> Level | Male | Femal <br> $\mathbf{e}$ | English <br> Learner <br> $\mathbf{s}$ | Not-English <br> Learners | Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | Not <br> Socioeconomicall <br> y <br> Disadvantaged | Migrant <br> Educatio <br> $\mathbf{n}$ <br> Services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 61 | 55 | 39 | 62 |  | 58 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 47 | 52 | 21 | 55 | 53 | 49 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 50 | 46 | 14 | 53 | 53 | 47 |  |

## SAT 9 - Racial/Ethnic Groups - Reading

Percentage of students scoring at or above the 50th percentile

| Grade <br> Level | African- <br> American | American <br> Indian or <br> Alaska <br> Native | Asian- <br> American | Filipino- <br> American | Hispanic <br> or Latino | Pacific <br> Islander | White <br> (not <br> Hispanic) | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 29 |  | 41 | 49 | 23 |  | 61 |  |


| 10 | 21 |  | 48 | 38 | 23 |  | 49 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 19 |  | 40 | 32 | 22 |  | 43 |  |

## SAT 9 - Racial/Ethnic Groups - Mathematics

Percentage of students scoring at or above the 50th percentile

| Grade <br> Level | African- <br> American | American <br> Indian or <br> Alaska <br> Native | Asian- <br> American | Filipino- <br> American | Hispanic <br> or Latino | Pacific <br> Islander | White <br> (not <br> Hispanic) | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 41 |  | 73 | 69 | 46 |  | 68 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |  | 78 | 68 | 32 |  | 52 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |  | 66 | 59 | 35 |  | 47 |  |

## California Fitness Test

Percentage of students meeting fitness standards (scoring in the healthy fitness zone on all six fitness standards)
Note: To protect student privacy, scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less.

| Grade <br> Level | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Female | Male | Total | Female | Male | Total | Female | Male |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 10.6 | 7.6 | 13.9 | 23.4 | 20.2 | 27.0 | 22.7 | 21.0 | 24.6 |

## Academic Performance Index (API)

The Academic Performance Index (API) is a score on a scale of 200 to 1000 that annually measures the academic performance and progress of individual schools in California. On an interim basis, the state has set 800 as the API score that schools should strive to meet.
Growth Targets: The annual growth target for a school is $5 \%$ of the distance between its base API and 800. The growth target for a school at or above 800 is to remain at or above 800 . Actual growth is the number of API points a school gained between its base and growth years. Schools that reach their annual targets are eligible for monetary awards. Schools that do not meet their targets and have a statewide API rank of one to five are eligible to participate in the Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program (II/USP), which provides resources to schools to improve their academic achievement.
Subgroup APIs and Targets: In addition to a whole-school API, schools also receive API scores for each numerically significant racial/ethnic and socioeconomically disadvantaged subgroup in the school. Growth targets, equal to 80 percent of the school's target, are also set for each of the subgroups. E ach subgroup must also meet its target for the school to be identified as having met its target.
Percentage Tested: In order to be eligible for awards, elementary and middle schools must have at least $95 \%$ of their students in grades 2-8 tested in STAR. High schools must have at least $90 \%$ of their students in grades 9-11 tested.
Statewide Rank: Schools receiving an API score are ranked in ten categories of equal size (deciles) from one (lowest) to ten (highest), according to type of school (elementary, middle, or high school).

Similar Schools Rank: This is a comparison of each school with 100 other schools with similar demographic characteristics. Each set of 100 schools is ranked by API score from one (lowest) to ten (highest) to indicate how well the school performed compared to schools most like it.

API criteria are subject to change as new legislation is enacted into law. More detailed and current information about the API and public school accountability in California can be found at the California Department of Education Web site at Error! Hyperlink reference not valid. or by speaking with the school principal

School Wide API

| API Base Data |  |  | API Growth Data |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  | From <br> $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ <br> to 2000 | From <br> 2000 <br> to 2001 | From <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ <br> to 2002 |
| Percentage Tested | 98 | 96 | 99 | Percentage Tested | 96 | 98 | 97 |
| API Base Score | 621 | 626 | 648 | API Growth Score | 612 | 649 | 638 |
| Growth Target | 9 | 9 | 8 | Actual Growth | -9 | 23 | -10 |
| Statewide Rank | 6 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Similar Schools Rank | 6 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |

API Subgroups - Racial/Ethnic Groups

| API Base Data |  |  |  | API Growth Data |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |  |  |  |  |
| African-American |  |  |  | African-American |  |  |  |
| API Base Score |  |  |  | API Growth Score |  |  |  |
| Growth Target |  |  |  | Actual Growth |  |  |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native |  |  |  | American Indian or Alaska Native |  |  |  |
| API Base Score |  |  |  | API Growth Score |  |  |  |
| Growth Target |  |  |  | Actual Growth |  |  |  |
| Asian-American |  |  |  | Asian-American |  |  |  |


| API Base Score | 723 | 715 | 729 | API Growth Score | 705 | 732 | 726 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth Target | 7 | 7 | 6 | Actual Growth | -18 | 17 | -3 |
| Filipino-American |  |  |  | Filipino-American |  |  |  |
| API Base Score | 674 | 687 | 711 | API Growth Score | 671 | 715 | 704 |
| Growth Target | 7 | 7 | 6 | Actual Growth | -3 | 28 | -7 |
| Hispanic or Latino |  |  |  | Hispanic or Latino |  |  |  |
| API Base Score | 539 | 533 | 562 | API Growth Score | 509 | 560 | 556 |
| Growth Target | 7 | 7 | 6 | Actual Growth | -30 | 27 | -6 |
| Pacific Islander |  |  |  | Pacific Islander |  |  |  |
| API Base Score |  |  |  | API Growth Score |  |  |  |
| Growth Target |  |  |  | Actual Growth |  |  |  |
| White (Not Hispanic) |  |  |  | White (Not Hispanic) |  |  |  |
| API Base Score | 680 | 689 | 700 | API Growth Score | 680 | 704 | 689 |
| Growth Target | 7 | 7 | 6 | Actual Growth | 0 | 15 | -11 |

API Subgroups - Socioeconomically Disadvantaged

| API Base Data |  |  | API Growth Data |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  | From <br> $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ <br> to 2000 | From <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ <br> to 2001 | From <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ <br> to 2002 |
| API Base Score | 539 | 541 | 595 | API Growth Score | 519 | 594 | 579 |
| Growth Target | 7 | 7 | 6 | Actual Growth | -20 | 53 | -16 |

API-Based Awards and Intervention Programs
California program data are based on API growth data from the previous academic year. ***The II/USP P rogram was not funded for the year 2002.

| California Programs |  |  | Federal Programs |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |


| Eligible for Governor's <br> Performance Award | NO | YES | NO | Recognition for <br> Achievement (Title 1) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eligible for IIIUSP | NO | NO | $* * *$ | Identified for Program <br> Improvement (Title 1) |  |  |  |
| Applied for IIIUSP \$ | NO | NO | $* * *$ | Exited Title 1 Program <br> Improvement |  |  |  |
| Received IIIUSP \$ | NO | NO | $* * *$ |  |  |  |  |

## IV. School Completion (Secondary Schools)

## California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE)

Beginning with the graduating class of 2004, students in California public schools will have to pass the California High School Exit Exam to receive a high school diploma. The School Accountability Report Card for that year will report the percentage of students completing grade 12 who successfully complete the California High School Exit Exam.

These data are not required to be reported until 2004 when they can be reported for the entire potential graduating class. When implemented, the data shall be disaggregated by special education status, English language learners, socioeconomic status, gender and ethnic group.

## Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

Data reported regarding progress over the most recent three-year period toward reducing dropout rates include: grade 9-12 enrollment, the number of dropouts, and the one-year dropout rate listed in the California Basic Educational Data System (CBEDS). The formula for the one-year dropout rate is (Grades 9-12 Dropouts/G rades 9-12 E nrollment) multiplied by 100. Graduation rate data will be reported after the California State Board of Education approves a graduation rate formula.

|  | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| Enrollment (9-12) | 2113 | 2203 | 2231 | 24259 | 24577 | 24282 | 165903 <br> 0 | 170349 <br> 2 | 173557 <br> 6 |
| Number of <br> Dropouts | 15 | 17 | 5 | 1098 | 840 | 601 | 46470 | 47282 | 47899 |
| Dropout Rate | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 |

## V. Class Size

## Average Teaching Load and Teaching Load Distribution

Data reported are the average class size and the number of classrooms for each range of students, by subject area, as reported by CBEDS.

| Subject | 2000 |  |  |  | 2001 |  |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg. | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ | Avg. | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ | Avg. | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |
| English | 24.40 | 40 | 42 | 7 | 24.24 | 43 | 46 | 4 | 24.95 | 37 | 47 | 7 |
| Mathematics | 26.87 | 24 | 35 | 9 | 26.28 | 26 | 41 | 7 | 26.92 | 21 | 33 | 12 |
| Science | 28.09 | 7 | 40 | 10 | 28.78 | 4 | 48 | 8 | 29.18 | 5 | 33 | 6 |
| Social Science | 29.93 | 9 | 20 | 26 | 29.23 | 3 | 43 | 10 | 30.66 | 2 | 31 | 17 |

## VI. Teacher and Staff Information

## Teacher Credential Information

Part-time teachers are counted as ' 1 '. If a teacher works at two schools, he/she is only counted at one school. Data are not available for teachers with a full credential and teaching outside his/her subject area.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of Teachers | 103 | 105 | 104 |
| Full Credential <br> (full credential and teaching in subject area) | 87 | 92 | 89 |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area <br> (full credential but teaching outside subject area) | 20 | 15 | 18 |
| Emergency Credential <br> (includes District Internship, University Internship, Pre-Interns and Emergency <br> Permits) |  |  |  |
| Teachers with Waivers <br> (does not have credential and does not qualify for an Emergency Permit) | 1 | 1 |  |

## Teacher Evaluations

Under contract with our bargaining units all staff are evaluated on a regular basis. Classified staff are evaluated annually and certificated staff are evaluated according to their current hiring status (temporary, probationary and tenured). During the school year 2001-2002, 75 credentialed teachers were evaluated. Administrators are also scheduled for evaluation annually. The principal evaluates his associate principals and the superintendent evaluates the principal. Professional development occurs in many ways. From local staff development programs, to enrollment in local colleges, to attendance at conferences and educational seminars, to membership in professional organizations our teachers continue to grow professionally. It is the philosophy of the school to encourage all staff to continue professional growth throughout their careers.

## Substitute Teachers

The East Side Union High School District has developed a large pool of qualified substitute teachers. When teachers are absent for illness or personal emergencies or need to participate in special professional training activities, substitute teachers are sent to cover classes.

However, to maintain the quality of the instructional program, every effort is made to minimize teacher absences.

## Counselors and Other Support Staff

Data reported are in units of full-time equivalents (FTE). One FTE is defined as a staff person who is working $100 \%$ full time. Two staff persons working $50 \%$ of full time also equals one FTE.

| Title | FTE |
| :--- | :---: |
| Counselor | 3 |
| Librarian | 1 |
| Psychologist | 1 |
| Social Worker | $*$ |
| Nurse | $* *$ |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist |  |
| Resource Specialist (non-teaching) |  |
| Other |  |

* Mt. Pleasant has a social worker from the county on the campus to assist students and families in need.
** There are two nurses in the district who serve all schools.


## VII. Curriculum and Instruction

## School Instruction and Leadership

Mt. Pleasant High School has continued its reputation for a high quality educational program for its students. But with the changing demographics of our population and the changing requirements for the workplace and our society, we have also realized that we must look carefully at our academic programs. It is important that we make every attempt to insure that ALL students have an opportunity for success. To this end we have implemented four Tech Prep programs that integrate vocational and academic classes and prepare the students for the world of work or college. Our programs are in Manufacturing Technology (our MIT Magnet), Political S cience Academy, J R OTC and Animation. In the fall of 1996, Mt. Pleasant opened an Animation Studio Magnet, developed in cooperation with Walt Disney Animation Studio, Silicon Graphics, Adobe Systems, ESUHSD Adult Education Program and the City of San Jose. This is the only high school program of its kind in Northern California and will prepare students for a vital growing industry of today. Along with these efforts, we have established integrated curricular programs
combining English and social studies on the 9th, 10th, and 11th grade levels. We also received a Hewlett-Packard Grant for the integration of the mathematics and science programs at the 9th grade level. We instituted a Marine J unior ROTC program in the Fall of 1994 and served over 100 students in this activity. The Mt. Pleasant AVID program has been a National Certified Demonstration School site for the past three years. E very year the AVID program graduates $100 \%$ of the seniors enrolled in AVID and $100 \%$ of these students attend college. The AVID program has been in place at Mt. Pleasant for the past 9 years, contributing in the efforts of placing more students in AP and honor courses. All these efforts are an attempt to provide academic achievement and successful experiences for all students. We recently added a Puente program for "Freshmen and Sophmores to support their success to get into a 4-year college.

## Professional Development

The school has extended a restructuring phase with the creation of performance standards.
The performance standards allows teachers to discuss instructional methodologies to strengthen curriculum. Teachers work in collaborative groups and are committed to complete the performance standards for their course and provide student work to evaluate. Additional training has been offered in the following areas: CLAD training, technology, literacy across the curriculum, AP training, AP calculus, Baldrige training, SASI training, English literature and AP biology.

## Quality and Currency of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

> All students are provided with textbooks for courses that require them. On the average, a new textbook costs between $\$ 55.00$ and $\$ 60.00$. Students who take five required courses may have as many as 5 texts with a total value of $\$ 275.00$ plus.
> Currently there are approximately 230 computers on the campus that are directly related to the instructional program. These computers are used primarily in the English, ELD, Business, Mathematics, Art (Animation), Industrial Ed and Safety Ed departments. An IBM computer lab is available to students and staff and through Carl Perkins and ELD funds, all labs have been enhanced. Recent purchases of updated machines have increased the quality of the instructional technology on the campus, but the school is continuing to investigate methods to increase this technology at a faster rate.
> Textbook losses continue to be a serious district problem that students, parents and teachers must address every year.

## Instructional Minutes

The California Education Code establishes the required number of instructional minutes per year for each grade. Data reported compares the number of instructional minutes offered at the school level to the state requirement for each grade.

| Grade <br> Level | Offered | State Requirement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | Instructional Minutes |
|  | $\mathbf{6 7 , 8 3 2}$ | 64,800 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 , 8 3 2}$ | 64,800 |


| 11 | 67,832 | 64,800 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 67,832 | 64,800 |

Total Number of Minimum Days
$\square$

## VIII. Postsecondary Preparation (Secondary Schools)

## Advanced Placement/International Baccalaureate Courses Offered

The Advanced Placement (AP) and International Baccalaureate (IB) programs give students an opportunity to take college-level courses and exams while still in high school. The table below shows the number of classes offered and the enrollment in various AP and IB classes. The data for Fine and Performing Arts includes AP Art and AP Music, and the data for Social Science include IB Humanities.

| Subject | Number of Courses | Number of Classes | Enrollment |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fine and Performing Arts | 2 | 2 | 32 |
| Computer Science |  |  |  |
| English | 1 | 1 | 33 |
| Foreign Language | 3 | 6 | 133 |
| Mathematics | 3 | 5 | 147 |
| Science |  |  |  |
| Social Science |  |  |  |

## Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for University of California (UC) and California State University (CSU) Admission (Grades 9-12)

The percentage of pupils enrolled in courses required for UC and/or CSU admission is calculated by dividing the total number of pupils in courses required for UC and/or UC admission (duplicated count) by the total number of pupils in all courses (also a duplicated count) for the most recent year.

| Number of Pupils <br> Enrolled in all Courses | Number of Pupils Enrolled <br> In Courses Required <br> For UC and/or CSU Admission | Percentage of Pupils Enrolled <br> In Courses Required <br> For UC and/or CSU Admission |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10508 | 7043 | 67.0 |

## Graduates Who Have Passed Courses Required for University of California (UC) and California State University (CSU) Admission

The percentage of graduates is the number of graduates who have passed course requirements for UC and/or CSU admission divided by the school's California Basic Educational Data System (CBEDS) total graduates for the most recent year.

| Number of <br> Graduates | Number of Graduates <br> Who Have Passed Course <br> Requirements <br> For UC and/or CSU Admission | Percentage of Graduates <br> Who Have Passed Course <br> Requirements <br> For UC and/or CSU Admission |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 376 | 144 | 38.3 |

## SAT I Reasoning Test

Students may voluntarily take the SAT test for college entrance. The test may or may not be available to students at a given school. Students may take the test more than once, but only the highest score is reported at the year of graduation.

|  | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| Grade 12 Enrollment | 506 | 471 | 471 | 5632 | 5693 | 5590 | 347813 | 357789 | 365907 |
| Percentage of Grade 12 <br> Enrollment Taking Test | 40 | 48 | 51 | 38 | 40 | 40 | 36 | 37 | 37 |
| Average Verbal Score | 448 | 460 | 446 | 459 | 462 | 453 | 492 | 492 | 490 |
| Average Math Score | 482 | 479 | 478 | 502 | 500 | 494 | 517 | 516 | 516 |

## College Admission Test Preparation Course Program

Mt. Pleasant offered a SAT preparation class for students. Two hundred and five students participated in the course. The number of students taking the SAT were 476.

## Degree to Which Students are Prepared to Enter Workforce

[^1]of technology. Students explore the theory, application, availability and growth of modern technology in an applications approach to learn. They are introduced to and work with state-of-the-art equipment with support from industry partners. Successful students are prepared for immediate entry into the workforce and/or entrance into college or university education.

The Political Science Academy is a program dedicated to the study of society and the institutions that govern society. Students learn the workings of government on the local, national and international level through hands-on projects and simulations, field trips and visits from elected officials.

The Marine junior ROTC cadets learn leadership self-discipline, and community involvement skills. Basic training, field trips and competitions are offered to interested students. The program helps students to be better citizens and develop their leadership skills.

The Automotive/Transportation Tech Prep Program explores the field of transportation with a specific focus on automotive. Students gain the knowledge and skills necessary to pursue college, trade school or employment. Students also participate in mentoring and work experience programs.

ROP/Merchandising and Manufacturing Programs offer students work experience activities, high school nits toward graduation and valuable skills. Courses are offered through both Business and Industrial Education Departments.

## IX. Fiscal and Expenditure Data

## Average Salaries (Fiscal Y ear 2000-2001)

Statewide data categories used for comparison are determined by type (Elementary, High, and Unified) and enrollment, as defined in Error! Hyperlink reference not valid.. The statewide average for principals is aggregated by district. There is no statewide average calculated for Common Administration Districts. (Note: 2001-02 data was not available at this time.)

| Category | District Amount | State Average <br> For Districts <br> In Same Category |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Teacher Salary | 38833 | 35124 |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | 62560 | 57212 |
| Highest Teacher Salary | 77200 | 71349 |
| Average Principal Salary (High) | 102401 | 99782 |
| Superintendent Salary | 199132 | 138750 |
| Percentage of Budget for Teacher Salaries | 39.01 | 38.23 |
| Percentage of Budget for Administrative Salaries | 5.33 | 5.12 |

Expenditures (F iscal Y ear 2000-2001) (Note: 2001-02 data was not available at this time.)

| District | District | State Average <br> For Districts | State Average <br> All Districts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  | In Same Category |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Dollars | Dollars per Student <br> (ADA) | Dollars per Student <br> (ADA) | Dollars per Student <br> (ADA) |
| $\$ 173,933,670$ | $\$ 7,385$ | $\$ 6,534$ | $\$ 6,360$ |

## Types of Services Funded

In 2000-2001, the E ast S ide Union High School District received $\$ 200$ million. When costs for direct instruction, transportation, salaries, fringe benefits, food services and facilities maintenance are considered, the district expended $\$ 8,375$ per student. The graphs below illustrate district income and expenditures.

A variety of integrated instructional programs have been developed within the East Side Union High School District. Through these programs, students can obtain technical training to prepare them to enter the work force or further their education. Included are Integrated Career Programs (ICP 's); Tech Prep; Work Experience; Central County Occupational Center, which includes Regional Occupational Satellite Programs; New Ways W orkers; Partnership Academies and J ob Placement Center.

Mt. P leasant received additional funds to provide assistance to students with special needs. The following special programs are offered at the school:

- English Language Learners
- Gifted and Talented Education
- Learning Handicapped
- Speech Therapy
- Adaptive Physical Education
- Animation Studio Magnet
- Emergency Immigrant Education Assistance
- Evergreen Valley College Courses
- Manufacturing Industrial Technology - Magnet Program
- Project - Santa Clara University
- Vocational Educationally Handicapped
- ROP - Merchandising/Manufacturing
- Cardinal Success Center (CSC) - a Student Assistant P rogram
- Work Experience (WEEP)
- Central County Occupational Center
- East Side Union HSD Adult Education Program
- Unfinished J ourney - San J ose State University
- Marine J unior R OTC
- AVID
- School-wide tutoring program
- San J ose State University Partnerships
- Santa Clara University Partnerships
- Adobe
- Cisco


[^0]:    School Description
    Mt. Pleasant High School prides itself on being a community of staff, students, and parents who collaborate to ensure success for all students. Working together, they produce graduates who have a sense of responsibility for themselves and their society.

    Mt. Pleasant has divide the school into a creative and innovative system known as Learning Communities. These four Learning Communities allow for teachers and counselors in each department to focus on a small group of students representing MPHS's entire student population. With these Learning Communities, the staff is constantly collaborating on how to better meet the needs of all students.

    Mt. P leasant High School is on the move towards high achievement and student involvement. The school is making excellent progress to date. The school-wide Grade Point Average (GPA) went from 2.23 in the 1997-1998 school year to 2.75 in the 2001-2002 school year. The average daily attendance rate went from $89.8 \%$ in the $97-98$ school year to over $95 \%$ in the 2001-2002 school year.

    The dropout rate was reduced to under 1\%. Clubs have increased on campus from 16 to 37 in one year, and the school doubled the number of students in the advanced leadership program to 142. These

[^1]:    Mt. Pleasant has implemented four Tech P rep programs that integrate vocational and academic classes and prepare the students for the world of work or college. Our programs are in Manufacturing Technology, Political Science Academy, J ROTC and Animation. The Mt. Pleasant Animation Studio Magnet was developed in 1996 with the cooperation with Walt Disney Animation Studio, Silicon Graphics, Adobe Systems, East Side Union High School District Adult Education Program and the City of San J ose. This is the only high school program of its kind in Northern California and it prepares students for a vital growing industry.

    The Manufacturing Industrial Program allows students to combine their academic skills with an infusion

